Summary of Long-Term Rotation and Tillage Trials in North Carolina 1999-2022

David Jordan Department of Crop and Soil Sciences North Carolina State University

> 919-810-6611 david_jordan@ncsu.edu

Background

Rotation and tillage trials were initiated at Lewiston-Woodville (1999) and Rocky Mount (2000) and have been maintained through 2022

Soil at Lewiston-Woodville is a combination of Norfolk and Goldsboro soil series

- Soil at Rocky Mount is a combination of Goldsboro, Lynchburg, and Raines soil series
- Trials were established primarily to compare the effects of rotation and tillage on peanut yield
- Sequences of rotation had peanut in all plots around every 5 years
- Impacts of rotation on corn and cotton were confounded in some cases based on rotation sequence relative to peanut

Summary

Rotation and tillage affected crop yield independently in most years

- Rotation had a major impact on peanut yield but had only modest effects on corn and cotton yield
- Peanut yield was lower in strip tillage compared with conventional tillage on fine-textured soils
- Peanut yield was similar on coarse-textured soils for both tillage systems
- Corn yield was greater in strip tillage compared with conventional tillage on coarse-textured soils but was similar in both tillage systems on fine-textured soils
- Cotton yield was similar in strip tillage and conventional tillage on both soils

Crop Yield Response to Continuous Conventional and Strip Tillage

The rotation × tillage interaction was often not significant Peanut yields reflect average of long and short rotations Data are pooled over rotations and years

	Lewiston-Woodville (1999-2022) Norfolk and Goldsboro series		
Crop	Conventional till	Strip till	
Corn (bu/acre)	119	124 * (n = 12)	
Cotton (lbs lint/acre)	823	816 (n = 15)	
Peanut (lbs/acre)	3917	3899 (n = 9)	

	Rocky Mount (2000-2022) Lynchburg, Raines, and Goldsboro series		
Crop	Conventional till	Strip till	
Corn (bu/acre)	147	150 (n = 6)	
Cotton (lbs lint/acre)	904	901 (n = 11)	
Peanut (lbs/acre)	3871	3147 * (n = 9)	

Summary

Results from these and other trials were used to develop a risk index to assist growers in making a decision on transitioning from conventional tillage to reduced tillage

The majority of peanuts in North Carolina are planted in conventional tillage

The intensity of tillage in peanut production systems has decreased in conventional tillage systems

Lower peanut yield in strip tillage on fine-textured soils is attributed to greater pod loss during digging relative to conventional tillage

NC STATE UNIVERSITY





Tillage Practices in Peanut in North Carolina

Percentage of farmers listing a practice on at least a portion of their acreage

Tillage	1998	2004	2009	2014	2019
Disk	90	78	71	75	79
Chisel	25	23	27	12	21
Moldboard plow	58	17	7	5	6
Field cultivate	75	55	42	44	53
Rip and Bed	49	39	40	55	48
Bed	44	35	32	25	35
Reduced till	10	23	41	20	31
			Service.		

Table 3-15. Advisory Index for Determining the Risk of Peanut Yield in Reduced Tillage Systems Being Lower Than Yield in Conventional-Tillage Systems

Category	Scoring Criteria	Your Score
Soil series Pod loss on finer-textured soils, such as those on the Roanoke and Craven series, is often greater than on coarser- textured soils, such as Conetoe and Wanda series, regardless of tillage system. Difficulty in digging can increase when these soils become hard in the fall if rainfall is limited.	Roanoke and Craven —40 points Goldsboro and Lynchburg — 20 points Norfolk — 10 points Conetoe and Wanda — 0 points	Soil series Your score:

Tillage intensity Peanut response to reduced-tillage systems is invariably correlated with the degree of tillage. Efficient digging can be difficult when peanuts are planted in flat ground in reduced-tillage systems. Although fields may appear to be flat and uniformly level, often fields are more rugged than they appear, and setting up the digger to match unforeseen contours in the field can be difficult. Strip tillage into flat ground is a better alternative than no tillage into flat ground, although digging peanuts planted on flat ground can be more challenging regardless of the tillage system. Strip tillage into preformed beds often results in yields approaching those of conventional tillage.	No tillage into flat ground — 35 points Strip tillage into flat ground — 10 points Strip tillage into stale seedbeds — 0 points	Tillage intensity Your score:
---	--	-------------------------------------

Risk of yield being lower in reduced tillage than in conventional tillage:35 or less — low risk 40 to 50 — moderate risk 55 or more — high risk	Total index value Your score:
---	-------------------------------------

NC STATE UNIVERSITY

